

DOSSIER OF ECCLESIASTICAL MISCONDUCT AND INSTITUTIONAL ABUSE

A Formal Escalation Regarding Heritage Desecration, Character Assassination, and High-Level Complicity within the Archdiocese of Malta

SECTION 1: THE 2014 FRAME-UP AND THE CALCULATED SILENCE OF ARCHPRIEST ALBERT BUHAGIAR

The foundation of the systemic injustice perpetrated against me by the Maltese Church began in 2014 under the administration of the former Archpriest of Mosta, [Albert Buhagiar](#). Following my arrest on separate matters, the police leveled a highly inflammatory, unfounded accusation against me: the "[violation of tombs](#)" within the Mosta parish crypt.

The actions — and deliberate inactions — of Archpriest Buhagiar during this period constitute a grave breach of moral and pastoral duty:

- **Careful Awareness of the Fabrication:** Archpriest Buhagiar was fully aware that the accusation of grave violation was a fabrication. He knew that I had neither stolen, damaged, nor desecrated anything within the Mosta church or its crypt. My entry into the crypt [was driven purely by an artisan's passion and historical research](#) — using keys I had crafted myself to document, measure, and photograph the overlooked remains of the Old Church of Mosta, particularly within the deep recesses of the ossuary where no one else had ever bothered to look.
- **Weaponizing Silence for Character Assassination:** Despite possessing the absolute truth, Archpriest Buhagiar chose total, strategic silence. He deliberately withheld this information, allowing the public — particularly the people of Mosta who had ancestors buried in that crypt — to believe a macabre lie. He permitted a total assassination of my character, [allowing public hatred, animosity, and outrage](#) to build against an innocent man.

- **Pandering to Radical Parish "Enthusiasts":** This weaponized silence was maintained to satisfy a local circle of church enthusiasts. These individuals harbored immense bitterness and hostility toward me simply because I, an "outsider," had dared to enter a space they arrogantly treated as their exclusive, private property. Archpriest Buhagiar chose to feed their desire for vengeance rather than uphold the truth.
 - **A Failure of Basic Pastoral Duty:** For a priest, remaining silent when someone is [falsely accused](#) of violating tombs is equivalent to staying silent if someone were framed for stealing the Holy Eucharist while knowing no such thing occurred. At a bare minimum, Buhagiar had a moral obligation to issue a public statement clarifying to the community that no tombs had been disturbed, no heritage desecrated, and no theft committed. He chose instead to let the lie fester.
-

SECTION 2: COLLECTIVE GUILT AND THE COMPLICITY OF THE ARCHDIOCESE

The moral failure of 2014 is not isolated to Albert Buhagiar alone; it implicates the entire structure of the Archdiocese of Malta, transforming individual negligence into institutional complicity.

- **The Complicity of the Mosta Clergy:** The remaining priests stationed at the Mosta parish at the time cannot claim ignorance. In a high-profile case involving their own church, it is a certainty that they questioned Buhagiar regarding what this supposed "violation of tombs" actually consisted of. Upon being informed that nothing of the sort had taken place, their subsequent silence made them active participants in the cover-up.
- **The Early Role of Mgr. Charles J. Scicluna:** At the time of my arrest, Mgr. [Charles J. Scicluna](#) served as Auxiliary Bishop to Archbishop Paul Cremona. In any functioning hierarchy, a high-profile public scandal involving the alleged desecration of graves in one of the island's most prominent parishes would demand internal inquiry. Archbishop Scicluna and the Curia leadership either knew the truth and chose to look away, or intentionally avoided their duty to investigate. By failing to intervene or order a clarifying statement, they validated the frame-up.

- **The "Millstone" Reality:** The collective hierarchy of the Archdiocese looked on with passive satisfaction as I was cast into a social and legal sea with a millstone around my neck. They salivated at the prospect of seeing me condemned and institutionalized for a crime they knew was a fiction, simply because my independent historical research interfered with their tightly guarded domain.

***The Exposure of Ecclesiastical Hypocrisy:** This initial chapter of the escalation exposes the total vacancy of the Christian virtues the Archdiocese claims to preach. If entering a crypt purely to rescue and document the lost architectural history of my hometown's old church provoked such a vicious, vengeful reaction from the Church, one wonders what depths of malice they would have sunk to had a real, macabre crime actually been committed.*

SECTION 3: THE SUCCESSION, CONTINUED SILENCE, AND HERITAGE DESECRATION UNDER ARCHPRIEST SEBASTIAN CARUANA

The cycle of institutional malice did not conclude with the departure of Albert Buhagiar. In October 2016, Rev. [Sebastian Caruana](#) assumed the role of Archpriest of Mosta. During the administrative handover, Caruana inherited firsthand knowledge of my highly publicized 2014 arraignment. Having followed the case as a clergyman from a distance, he knew the foundational truth: the "violation of tombs" charge leveled against me was a total fabrication.

Yet, upon entering his new role, Archpriest Caruana chose the exact same weaponized silence as his predecessor. He showed no pastoral concern for the fact that an innocent man from his own parish was left forgotten, confined within a psychiatric institution on fraudulent charges that the parish leadership knew to be false.

The 2017 Turning Point and Persevering in Malice

In 2017, I published an extensive research article detailing my architectural and historical findings regarding the structural remains of the Old Church of Mosta situated within the crypt.

- **Evident Absence of Malice:** This publication proved beyond all doubt that my sole motivation for entering the crypt [was a deep, historical passion to recover the lost heritage of my hometown](#). I possessed no criminal intent, let alone any desire to desecrate or violate graves.
- **The Compounded Obligation to Speak:** With this concrete proof in the public domain, Archpriest Caruana was under an even greater moral and Christian obligation to correct the past narrative. He was duty-bound to issue a formal statement declaring that I had stolen nothing, damaged nothing, and committed no macabre acts.
- **Choosing Public Animosity Over Truth:** At this time, I had not yet built my website (which [launched later, in April 2018](#)) to vindicate my name; I was still labeled as a dangerous individual locked away at Mount Carmel Hospital. Rather than intervening to lift this false, oppressive label from a parishioner who had already spent over three years wrongfully institutionalized, Caruana chose to preserve the status quo. He maintained the silence, allowing public hatred and animosity to continue unhindered.

The Actual Desecration of the Mosta Ossuary

Instead of executing his duty to protect truth and patrimony, Archpriest Caruana used [my 2017 research article](#) as a roadmap for an amateur intervention. Accompanied by local church enthusiasts, he descended into the crypt and ossuary to verify my findings. Prompted by these untrained individuals, he tasked undertakers with a clandestine "clean-up" of the historic ossuary.

This operation resulted in [severe heritage destruction and profound disrespect for the deceased ancestors of Mosta](#):

- **Annihilation of Rare Mummified Remains:** The crypt held naturally mummified corpses — a rare historical anomaly likely preserved by specific micro-climatic conditions, winter burials, and the historical use of lime. Instead of being preserved, these unique historical assets were treated as mere clutter. To clear the space efficiently, these intact mummified bodies were unceremoniously handled, dragged, and beaten with wooden implements to detach skin from bone, separating decades-old fused skeletal structures.
 - **Desecration as Refuse:** Fragile, desiccated skin, clothing remnants, and smaller vital skeletal structures (ribs, fingers, and delicate internal remains) were swept up and discarded as common trash. The remaining large bones and skulls were then crammed like sardines into the few available vacant graves.
 - **The Ultimate Hypocrisy:** In a bitter display of institutional hypocrisy, the very crime of "violation of tombs" that I was falsely framed for in 2014 was now actually committed on a massive scale by the Archpriest himself. The historical outrage directed at me should have served as a clear warning to a responsible custodian; instead, he executed the exact defacement I had been falsely accused of committing.
-

SECTION 4: SYSTEMATIC VIOLATIONS OF STATE AND CANON LAW

This unauthorized clearance of the Mosta ossuary was not merely a moral failure; it was an illegal act executed *ultra vires* (beyond his legal powers), violating both the civil laws of Malta and the sacred provisions of Catholic Canon Law.

1. State Legal Violations (Maltese Civil Law)

The burial practices and maintenance of crypts in Malta are heavily restricted under historical public health mandates, notably the **Burials Ordinance (Chapter 17 of the Laws of Malta)** and regulations enforced by the **Environmental Health Directorate**. While historic open charnel houses (*kennerja*) have long been halted for active interments due to modern hygiene standards, the physical remains inside them are strictly protected.

- **Disturbance of Human Remains:** The Burials Regulatory Unit (BRU) strictly mandates that it is completely unlawful to disturb, move, or handle any human remains without official, explicit state permission.
- **Sanitation & Health Permits:** Even if remains are decades or centuries old, [any transfer or clearing of bones](#) (exhumation/ossuary relocation) requires a sanitary permit from the Superintendent of Public Health. Doing so clandestinely bypasses legal protocols regarding public health and bio-safety risks.
- **Heritage Protection:** Because Maltese parish churches and their underlying structures fall under the Cultural Heritage Act, historical crypts and ossuaries are protected archaeological and cultural assets. Modifying them or clearing bones without explicit clearance from the Superintendence of Cultural Heritage is a criminal offense.

2. Ecclesiastical Violations (Canon Law)

Under Catholic Canon Law governing Sacred Places and Shrines, human remains must be treated with absolute dignity. An archpriest is a temporary custodian, not an absolute owner, of parish property.

Canon law explicitly mandates that an archpriest cannot unilaterally empty an ossuary or disturb old bones without explicit, written clearance and structural oversight from the Curia — specifically from the Archbishop or the diocesan chancellor — ensuring remains are reverently cataloged. Archpriest Caruana possessed no such authorization, making his actions a direct violation of canonical discipline.

SECTION 5: RETALIATION, ARROGANCE, AND THE REJECTION OF COMPROMISE

In November 2019, while on leave from my confinement, I visited the Mosta crypt and discovered the physical reality of this devastation. I witnessed the cleared sections of the ossuary and the desecration of our ancestors — many of whom have living, immediate descendants in Mosta today who were never informed nor consulted.

- **The Backlash to Accountability:** When I attempted to report this actual violation of tombs to the civil and ecclesiastical authorities, the response from Archpriest Caruana was not remorse, but intensified bitterness. Mirroring the arrogance of the parish enthusiasts, he operated under the assumption that the Church is above external scrutiny or interference.
 - **Gatekeeping the Archives:** As a direct consequence of my whistleblowing, my repeated professional requests for access to the Mosta parish archives — a right routinely granted to inner-circle researchers to study local history — were systematically ignored. This stonewalling was repeated when archive access was formally presented as part of my [May 5th compromise proposals](#).
 - **A Complete Refusal of Pastoral Justice:** With the launch of my [website](#) in 2018, I laid out clear, black-and-white evidence exposing the judicial and psychiatric corruption used to keep me confined. As a leader within my home parish, Caruana should have practiced the Gospel he preaches by standing as a voice for a victim of systemic institutional abuse. Instead, he chose absolute silence, deliberately opting to leave an innocent man locked up and abused under the guise of psychiatric treatment to protect himself from legal exposure.
-

SECTION 6: THE ROLE OF ARCHBISHOP CHARLES J. SCICLUNA: HIGH-LEVEL COVER-UP AND MEDIA WEAPONIZATION

The structural rot and deliberate concealment of the injustices perpetrated against me culminate directly at the summit of the Maltese Church. Archbishop [Charles J. Scicluna](#) has not merely stood as a passive observer; through calculated inaction, the weaponization of Church-controlled media, and systemic double standards, he has actively validated the destruction of local heritage and the ongoing abuse of a parishioner.

1. The Genesis of High-Level Complicity (2014)

Archbishop Scicluna's direct involvement began during my initial arraignment in 2014. In his capacity as Auxiliary Bishop to the then-Archbishop Paul Cremona, a highly publicized

case involving the alleged "violation of tombs" within a premier archdiocesan crypt inevitably demanded his attention.

Upon reviewing the facts with the then-Archpriest Albert Buhagiar, Mgr. Scicluna became fully aware that the grave-deseccation charges [were a complete police fabrication](#). Instead of exercising his pastoral authority to clear the air, he chose to remain silent. He did not condemn Buhagiar's malicious silence; instead, he approved an approach that left an innocent man to be vilified, character assassinated, and wrongfully confined within a psychiatric facility. The hierarchy looked on with passive satisfaction as I was cast out with a millstone tied around my neck.

2. The Weaponization of Church Media (*Newsbook*)

Under his tenure both as Auxiliary Bishop and subsequently as Archbishop, the official media arm of the Archdiocese, *Newsbook*, was actively permitted to disseminate falsehoods, mirroring the sensationalism of secular media while hiding behind slogans of being "[fair, accurate, and trustworthy](#)."

Following the launch of my [website](#) in April 2018 — which laid out extensive, black-and-white documentation of the judicial miscarriages and psychiatric abuse I endured — I wrote to *Newsbook* on numerous occasions ([a](#), [b](#), ...) to provide them with the facts. Instead of pursuing objective investigative journalism, the Church media chose to preserve a narrative of hatred.

On August 10, 2021 — seven years after formal court sentences explicitly verified that [my case involved animals already found dead on public roads](#) — *Newsbook* [continued its defamatory rhetoric by publishing yet another article](#) repeating, among others lies, the completely unfounded "cat killer" label. This targeted renewal of public hostility directly exacerbated the severe distress of my octogenarian mother, [who passed away within a single month](#) of its publication.

Equally deplorable is the institutional platform granted to figures like Andrew Azzopardi. Aside from the [unfounded animosity and hatred he systematically instigated against me](#),

Azzopardi went so far as to issue a literal death fatwa on November 16, 2011, publicly [calling for my crucifixion](#). Despite broadcasting such extreme, unhinged incitement to violence, this individual was rewarded by the Archdiocese with a [prime-time presence on the official Church radio station](#) — a platform he continued to enjoy until just a few months ago. This standard demonstrates that while the Archdiocese claims to promote a message of peace, it actively funds and broadcasts individuals who traffic in violent, targeted vilification.

3. Protection of Senior Clergy and Legal Discrimination

When [made explicitly aware of the actions of Archpriests Albert Buhagiar and Sebastian Caruana](#) — specifically the latter's unauthorized, illegal clearance of the Mosta ossuary and the physical destruction of rare, naturally mummified remains — Archbishop Scicluna chose to protect his inner circle.

This stark contrast establishes a damaging administrative precedent. By shielding these two Archpriests from accountability while aggressively disciplining lower-ranking clergy, Archbishop Scicluna has compromised the legal and moral integrity of his office. Any future disciplinary action against a priest can now be challenged as discriminatory, given the complete immunity granted to the senior leadership of the Mosta parish.

4. Public Hypocrisy vs. Vested Silence

Archbishop Scicluna frequently utilizes high-impact public statements to bolster his reputation, notably declaring that "[In the face of evil deeds and injustice, one cannot remain neutral](#)." His absolute silence regarding the verified psychiatric malpractice and systemic corruption exposed on my [website](#) reveals this stance as pure hypocrisy.

The hierarchy possesses a strong vested interest in ensuring my continued confinement and social isolation. By exposing the explicit civil, canonical, and moral infractions of the Archbishop and his Archpriests, I have revealed a profound lack of ethical integrity at the core of the diocese. Leaving a whistleblower silenced under the guise of psychiatric "treatment" serves as their primary mechanism for self-preservation.

- **The October 2025 Malpractice:** This pattern of enforcement was made entirely clear during the fresh wave of institutional abuses [I suffered in October 2025](#). When [I formally reported these matters](#) directly to the Archbishop and select clergy, my warnings were completely ignored, leaving me to bear the consequences ([11](#), [12](#), [a](#), [b](#), [c](#), [d](#)) for daring to demand accountability.
- **The Case of N.A. (Covering the Powerful):** This selective neutrality is further demonstrated by the Archbishop's total refusal [to act in the case of N.A.](#), a cognitively impaired individual [who was forced to take total legal blame](#) to shield a high-profile psychiatrist. The psychiatrist in question had allegedly facilitated the fraudulent reinstatement of a firearms license in exchange for service fees.

5. Conclusion: An Untenable Position

Whether dealing with the illegal disturbance of historic remains or the exploitation of vulnerable individuals, Archbishop Scicluna consistently shies away from confronting powerful figures or senior clergy, choosing instead to let innocent victims suffer in silence.

The existence of bodies like the Archdiocesan *Justice and Peace Commission* stands as a nominal exercise in public relations; they remain completely silent when faced with documented, localized corruption. By choosing to ignore the June 26th deadline and refusing the clear paths to a compromise outlined on May 5th, Archbishop Scicluna has fully incriminated his office. He has eroded the moral authority of the Archdiocese, giving the last remaining faithful yet another reason to abandon an institution that actively covers up the destruction of its own heritage and the persecution of its own people.

SECTION 7: SHARED INSTITUTIONAL GUILT: THE STRATEGIC SILENCE OF AUXILIARY BISHOP JOE GALEA-CURMI AND BISHOP ANTON TEUMA

The moral breakdown within the Maltese ecclesiastical hierarchy is not confined to the Archbishop alone. It extends directly to other senior prelates within the Province — specifically Auxiliary Bishop [Joe Galea-Curmi](#) and the Bishop of Gozo, [Anton Teuma](#).

Both individuals were fully briefed and possessed comprehensive knowledge regarding the 2014 police fabrication. They were entirely aware that the charge of "[violation of tombs](#)" within the Mosta parish crypt [was a coordinated frame-up](#), and that the police were actively feeding the media sensationalist lies — including the absurd claim that [I had desecrated the interior of the historic ossuary](#).

The Contrast of Secular vs. Ecclesiastical Justice

The total failure of the Archdiocese to clear the air stands in stark, damning contrast to the actions of the secular judiciary, exposing a complete lack of conscience among the leadership of the Church.

Even Magistrate Carol Peralta — despite his documented animosity and [vindictive handling of my legal proceedings](#) — recognized a fundamental duty to public truth. In the final court sentence, he explicitly noted that due to the massive impact and shockwaves this case had generated within Maltese society, he was legally and morally obligated to clear the air regarding the police's unfounded allegations of animal cruelty. The court formally recorded that I had [merely utilized animals already found dead on public roads](#), completely dismantling the media's manufactured narrative.

In comparison, the Archdiocese of Malta, the Archbishop, the Bishop of Gozo, and the Auxiliary Bishop never felt the slightest Christian or moral urge to issue a parallel statement regarding their own property. They refused to confirm to the public — and to the families of Mosta — the absolute reality: **that nothing within the Mosta crypt [had been stolen, damaged, or violated by my hand](#).**

Furthermore, despite having this definitive court sentence in hand and permanently archived online, the Archdiocese likewise never once bothered to condemn the police's character assassination or [my framing for the maltreatment and killing of animals](#). They

watched in silence as I was subjected to further unfounded hatred and a vicious trial by media. To this day, the internet remains flooded with articles unjustly branding me as the "Mosta cat killer." The Church leadership, armed with the undeniable judicial truth that my actions involved only the [use of deceased animals found on public roads](#), completely abdicated its moral duty to defend an innocent parishioner against a systemic public lynching.

Administrative Retaliation Against the Whistleblower

The depth of this hypocrisy is staggering. While the Church hierarchy maintained a stony silence to allow an innocent, independent researcher to be branded with a macabre label and locked inside a psychiatric facility, they looked the other way when a senior member of their own clergy committed the actual crime.

It fell to me — speaking from the depths of Mount Carmel Hospital — [to stand as a voice for the voiceless, forgotten dead of Mosta](#) and blow the whistle on this severe cultural defacement. The reaction from Auxiliary Bishop Galea-Curmi, Bishop Teuma, and the wider Curia was not canonical outrage or disciplinary action against Archpriest Caruana. Instead, their retaliation was directed at me for exposing the truth:

- **Sanctioning Collective Silence:** The bishops verified their complicity by refusing to address the documented miscarriages of justice, psychiatric malpractice, and institutional corruption brought to their attention.
- **Vindictive Exclusion:** They quietly endorsed the ongoing, petty discrimination practiced by the Mosta parish administration, ensuring my formal requests for access to the public parish archives remained completely blocked.

SECTION 8: COMPREHENSIVE CONCLUDING SUMMARY AND FORMAL DECLARATION OF INTENT

The expiration of the June 26th deadline — compounded by an additional fortnight of absolute, deliberate silence from the Archdiocese of Malta — marks the end of any possibility for diplomatic compromise. This comprehensive dossier outlines a continuous,

unyielding line of institutional malice stretching from the [calculated frame-ups of 2014](#) to the [physical desecration](#) of local patrimony between 2017 and 2019. By refusing to engage, answer, or acknowledge the [correspondence sent on May 5th](#), the Church hierarchy has willfully chosen open confrontation over a reasoned resolution.

Clarifying the Nature of the Four Proposals

It is imperative to state clearly that [the four proposals presented in the May 5th communication](#) did not constitute extortion, financial exploitation, or an attempt to blackmail the Church. I did not ask for the impossible, nor did I demand personal or material gain. Instead, the framework was built entirely around basic justice, historical preservation, and the fulfillment of fundamental pastoral duties:

1. **A Voice for the Voiceless:** I requested that the Church leadership simply practice the Gospel it preaches by standing as a public voice [against institutional corruption](#) and the severe human rights abuses occurring within [psychiatric malpractice](#) — an obligation they should have spearheaded years ago.
2. **Equal Access to Heritage:** I requested free and unencumbered access to the Mosta parish archives to conduct legitimate historical research, demanding the same basic access routinely granted to inner-circle enthusiasts and outside academics.
3. **Two Collaborative Projects:** I proposed two major initiatives designed entirely for the structural, historical, and spiritual benefit of the Mosta parish: a targeted, non-disruptive night excavation under the Rotunda flooring to uncover the remains of the Old Church, and the commissioning of a replica of Salvatore Dimech's original titular statue to restore lost artistic heritage.

Rather than recognizing these points as a constructive, non-escalatory path forward, the Church authorities once again looked the other way. They refused to lift a finger or even send a basic acknowledgment.

The Failure of Goodwill and the Persistence of Bitterness

This absolute stonewalling occurred despite the fact that the [May 5th correspondence](#) intentionally concluded on a conciliatory note. I explicitly set aside the deep grievances against Archpriest Sebastian Caruana to praise his boundlessly energetic approach to

parish restoration projects. I offered a hand of diplomacy, attempting to replace a legacy of condemnation with one of shared cultural discovery.

This gesture was entirely in vain. The deep-seated bitterness harbored by the Church authorities and their local enthusiasts against an independent researcher remained total. They chose to ignore the warning signs, underestimating the permanence of digital records and assuming that their senior status placed them permanently above public accountability.

Following the passing of the June 26th deadline — the 12th anniversary of the [vindictive legal sentence handed down against me](#) by Magistrate Carol Peralta — I chose to wait an additional two weeks. I held back further action, holding onto a final, lingering hope that a shred of conscience or institutional pragmatism might compel the Curia to act. This grace period yielded nothing but continued arrogance.

A Vested Interest: The Path Forward

Enough is enough. At this critical juncture, remaining silent would no longer be a patient courtesy; it would constitute active negligence on my part. Having fully informed the Archpriests and Bishops of their civil, canonical, and moral infractions, their refusal to act confirms a complete vacancy of ethical character, exposing the absolute hypocrisy and double standards operating at the highest levels of the Archdiocese.

If I had bypassed the path of compromise and escalated these matters immediately to external bodies years ago, the raw truth of the ordeals I suffered might have gained widespread coverage much sooner, rather than allowing me to remain forgotten within the walls of a psychiatric facility. By attempting to negotiate with an institution that understands only dominance and absolute control, I merely delayed the inevitable.

The boundaries of diplomacy are now officially closed. Just as the Archdiocese has a vested interest in concealing its administrative failures and the illegal handling of historic remains, **I have an unalterable vested interest in completely clearing my name,**

standing as a defender for the desecrated ancestors of Mosta, and ensuring that the four cultural and moral rectifications I proposed are brought to fruition.

This dossier will now be systematically elevated to the civil authorities, the global media, and the highest canonical tribunals of the Holy See. The permanent digital footprint has been established, the warnings were fully delivered, and the responsibility for the impending public fallout rests solely upon the shoulders of those who chose the path of silence.

Formal Declaration and Affirmation of Truth

I, the undersigned, hereby solemnly declare and affirm under penalty of civil, criminal, and canonical accountability that the contents of this [dossier](#) represent a true, accurate, and faithful record of the events, misconduct, and institutional abuses described herein.

Given on this 11 day of July, 2026.

Signed,



Nicholas Grech

Mosta, Malta

Email: nicho.grech@gmail.com